





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/652,485	08/31/2000	Raj P. Singh	00-2-025	2893
7	7590 03/03/2003			
Robert F Clark			EXAMINER	
Osram Sylvani 100 Endicott S	treet		WYSZOMIERSI	KI, GEORGE P
Danvers, MA 01923			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1742	
		•	DATE MAILED: 03/03/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

Á

		Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)	$\overline{}$			
بار مربخ	•	09/652,485	SINGH ET AL.	(\times)			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		George P Wyszomi	erski 1742				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app			ddress			
Peri d fo							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status 1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 .	lanuary 2003 .					
2a)□	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	is action is non-fina	ıl.				
3)							
Dispositi	on of Claims		,				
4)⊠	4) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>3-5</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)□	i) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected.						
7) 🗌	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requireme	ent.				
Application Papers							
•	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)⊡ acce		to by the Evaminer				
10)	Applicant may not request that any objection to the			١			
11)□	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• ,	•				
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 							
15) 🗌 🗸	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	• •					
Attachment(s)							
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) 🔲 N	nterview Summary (PTO-413) Paper Notice of Informal Patent Application (F ther:				

Application/Control Number: 09/652,485

Art Unit: 1742

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamada et al. (U.S. Patent 6,054,110), in view of either Ohta et al. (U.S. Patent 5,744,259) or Nakamura et al. (U.S. Patent 6,103,213). This is a new ground of rejection.

Initially, the examiner notes that the present Applicants make the claimed heterogenite (also known as HCoO₂ or CoOOH) powder by heating at 110 degrees C. Yamada et al. discloses heterogenite powder materials, but does not specify the surface area thereof. The prior art forms the heterogenite material by heating to a temperature as indicated on page 3 of the present specification; see Yamada column 3, line 59. Additionally, example 1 of Yamada begins with a cobalt compound having a H/O ratio of 1.15/ 2.07 prior to heating. The further examples of Yamada indicate that with increasing heating temperatures, the amounts of hydrogen and oxygen in the compound decrease, as indicated below.

Yamada example	Heating temperature (°C)	H/ O ratio (after heating)
Prior to heating	None	1.15/ 2.07
1	90-100	Not stated
3	150	0.68/ 1.79
5	200	0.51/ 1.67
2	300	0.19/ 1.46
4	500	0.02/ 1.34

Art Unit: 1742

From the above table, it is logical to conclude that example 1 of Yamada would possess a H/O ratio somewhere near the 1/2 ratio present in a perfect heterogenite powder.

The Ohta and Nakamura references disclose that it is known in the art that both cobalt hydroxide (Ohta) and cobalt powder made from heterogenite (Nakamura) are known to exist having a surface area as presently claimed. See Table 1 of Ohta or Table 2 of Nakamura. The examiner further notes that Nakamura Table 2 indicates that surface area decreases with increasing heating temperature. Given that the Yamada examples suggest to one how to produce heterogenite, and that the secondary references indicate that substances chemically similar to heterogenite are conventionally produced in the art in a surface area range as presently claimed, the examiner's position is that the combination of the Yamada disclosure together with that of Ohta or Nakamura would have taught the presently claimed invention to one of ordinary skill in the art.

- 3. The request for reconsideration filed January 22, 2003 included arguments that the claimed invention would be patentable over Yamada alone (or over a previously cited Japanese document). The examiner believes that the newly cited Ohta or Nakamura references supply any deficiency which may be present in the Yamada disclosure.
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George Wyszomierski whose telephone number is (703) 308-2531. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m. Eastern time. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King, can be reached on (703) 308-1146. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9310 for all correspondence except for After Final amendments in which case the Fax number is (703) 872-9311. The Right fax number for this examiner is (703) 872-9039. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

GPW February 26, 2003

EORGE WYSZOMIERSKI PRIMARY EXAMINER